

Have you ever in practice really tried to get a building manager to let you run a \*second\* or \*third\* so called \*competitive\* local telephone company entrance cable into a building? They laugh at you and ask you \*how much\* you are going to \*pay them\*. They only deal with the \*real\* (read ILEC) phone company. That is not how they treat the ILECs. There are \*practical\* monopoly reasons for why building managers do not want to be bothered by the \*hassles\* of additional entrance cables, yet the monopoly inertia given to the ILECs as a government sanctioned monopoly have given them an amount of market power in many areas that cannot be competed with without as of yet unavailable technology, regulatory force and / or access to publicly subsidized ILEC facilities. Until a practical shift occurs to cause a change in the gatekeeper mentality of cities and building managers, competitors must be given access to these facilities constructed under monopoly authority in the \*public interest\*. I am opposed to any other change.